

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP
Moez M. Kaba (#257456)
mkaba@hueston.com
Michael M. Purpura (#338216)
mpurpura@hueston.com
Lee Linderman (#280743)
llinderman@hueston.com
Brittnee Bui (#333372)
bbui@hueston.com
523 West 6th Street, Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA 90014
Telephone: (213) 788-4340

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Entrepreneur Media, LLC

NEWMAYER & DILLION LLP
Michael B. McClellan (#241570)
Michael.McClellan@ndlf.com
Benjamin P. Pugh (#202025)
benjamin.pugh@ndlf.com
Jason L. Morris (#295271)
Jason.Morris@ndlf.com
Harlye S. Carlton (#261641)
Harlye.Carlton@ndlf.com
895 Dove Street, Second Floor
Newport Beach, California 92660
(949) 854-7000; (949) 854-7099 (Fax)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Richard Kadrey, et al.,

Plaintiff,

v.

Meta Platforms, Inc.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 23-CV-3417-VC

**ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER CASES
SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT
TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 3-12 AND 7-
11**

1 **TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:**

2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11 of the Northern
 3 District of California, Plaintiff Entrepreneur Media, LLC (“Entrepreneur”) files this
 4 administrative motion to consider whether *Entrepreneur Media, LLC v. Meta Platforms, LLC*,
 5 3:25-CV-3579-BLF should be related to *Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc.*, 23-CV-3417-VC.
 6 Counsel for Meta Platforms, Inc. (“Meta”) has informed Entrepreneur that Meta does not oppose
 7 this administrative motion. *See* Declaration of Moez M. Kaba ¶ 4.

8 Civil Local Rule 3-12(b) provides: “Whenever a party knows or learns that an action, filed
 9 in or removed to this district is (or the party believes that the action may be) related to an action
 10 which is or was pending in this District as defined in Civil L.R. 3-12(a), the party must promptly
 11 file in the lowest-numbered case an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be
 12 Related.” Civ. L.R. 3-12(b). Actions are related to another when “(1) [t]he actions concern
 13 substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) it appears likely that there
 14 will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases
 15 are conducted before different Judges.” Civ. L.R. 3-12(a).

16 Entrepreneur now files this motion in the lower-numbered action. Entrepreneur does not
 17 seek to consolidate the two actions under FRCP 42, as Entrepreneur is situated differently than
 18 *Kadrey* plaintiffs as a corporate published of book and periodicals, rather than individual authors,
 19 and because of the differences between the respective parties’ potential damages theories.
 20 However, Entrepreneur has reason to believe *Entrepreneur Media, LLC v. Meta Platforms, LLC*,
 21 3:25-CV-3579-BLF is related to the *Kadrey* matter before Judge Chhabria. The two matters
 22 involve the same defendant and arise from the same events: (1) Meta’s illegal download of
 23 copyrighted works contained in shadow libraries, and (2) Meta’s use of those works to train large
 24 language models. Because Judge Chhabria has familiarized himself with Meta’s alleged
 25 misconduct, including by resolving dispositive motions addressing the same alleged misconduct,
 26 conducting this case before a different judge would likely create an unduly burdensome
 27 duplication of labor.

1 Accordingly, Entrepreneur respectfully requests that the Court related *Entrepreneur*
2 *Media, LLC v. Meta Platforms, LLC*, 3:25-CV-3579-BLF and *Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms,*
3 *Inc.*, 23-CV-3417-VC.

4
5 Dated: November 18, 2025

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP

6
7 By: 

Moez M. Kaba
Michael M. Purpura
Lee Linderman
Brittnee Bui

10 NEWMEYER & DILLION LLP
11 Michael B. McClellan
12 Benjamin P. Pugh
13 Jason L. Morris
14 Harlye S. Carlton

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ENTREPRENEUR MEDIA, LLC